

PROTEC 21 Betting Strategy Report

Player name: SAMPLE Counter, Card

General information

Time period of analysis:	08 Dec 1997
Number of shoes played:	4
Number of rounds played:	95
Total amount bet/won:	\$16000 / \$2125
Average bet:	\$168
Rule set in use:	DigitAce Systems
House edge:	0.51 %
Graph definition used for analysis:	EV (Actual advantage)
Average proportion of each shoe for which a bet was actually placed:	84 %

Counter analysis

Calculates the betting strategy used by the player when the count (advantage) was positive. This indicates that there was a relative surplus of high cards remaining in the shoe.

Average advantage bet / Average disadvantage bet:	13.4 *
Betting strategy correlation with ideal Counter:	90 %
Total rounds where there was an advantage:	40 %

Counter rating: High-level threat * Threat thresholds: 3.0 (low) to 7.0 (high)

Tracker analysis

Calculates the betting strategy used by the player when the count (advantage) was dropping. This indicates that there was a relative surplus of high cards actually being dealt from the shoe.

Average advantage bet / Average disadvantage bet:	1.2 *
Betting strategy correlation with ideal Tracker:	52 %
Total rounds where there was an advantage:	48 %

Tracker rating: Typical style * Threat thresholds: 1.8 (low) to 5.5 (high)

Session analysis

Calculates the overall past betting performance by the player, with the data given. This indicates the likelihood of success the player has had to date.

Player's overall past betting strategy rating:	-0.08 *
--	---------

Session rating: Typical style * Threat thresholds: 0.0 (low) to 1.0 (high)

Summary

There is strong evidence to suggest that the player poses a threat to the house bankroll.

Overall rating: High-level threat

